



GCCR

Global Conference on
Contemporary Research

GLOBAL CONFERENCE ON CONTEMPORARY RESEARCH

CONFERENCE THEME:

SUSTAINABLE FUTURES:

INNOVATION, DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION
AND GLOBAL COILLABORATION
THROUGH CONTEMPORARY RESEARCH



INNOVATION



DIGITAL
TRANSFORMATION



GLOBAL
COLLABORATION



SUSTAINABLE
FUTURES

JOURNAL

PEER-REVIEWED PROCEEDINGS



2026

25 OCTOBER, 2026

TORONTO, CANADA



gccr.academy





INTEGRATING MOVEMENT INTO PRIMARY SCHOOL LESSONS TO SUPPORT ATTENTION AND LEARNING

Aliboyeva Dilnovoz Shomurod qizi

*Graduate in Primary Education and Sports Educational Work
Termez State University, Uzbekistan*

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23132056>

Abstract. Movement can be incorporated into primary school lessons through short activity breaks or tasks that connect physical actions with academic content. This paper examines their educational value through a focused narrative review of four peer-reviewed publications. The evidence supports possible improvements in on-task behavior and, in some sustained interventions, mathematics and spelling achievement. Effects differ by outcome and study design, so better classroom engagement should not be treated as proof of higher attainment. A practical approach is proposed in which teachers select a learning objective, design an accessible movement task, and assess understanding afterward. For primary education in Uzbekistan, the approach offers a direction for classroom piloting, while its local effectiveness requires empirical evaluation.

Keywords: primary education, physically active learning, classroom movement breaks, on-task behavior, lesson planning

Introduction

Primary teachers organize lessons around activities such as listening, reading, writing, and discussion. Movement can add another mode of participation when it helps pupils engage with the content. A child stepping along a number line, for example, can represent an operation physically before explaining it in words or symbols. The teaching purpose must remain clear: performing an action and understanding a concept are different achievements.

This paper considers how classroom movement can complement primary teaching and physical education. Its aim is to interpret selected research findings and propose a practical framework for lesson planning. The central question is how teachers can introduce movement while keeping academic objectives, inclusive participation, and assessment in view.

Analytical approach

The paper uses a focused narrative review of two intervention studies and two systematic reviews published between 2006 and 2018. These publications were selected for their direct relevance to classroom activity, pupil engagement, and academic outcomes. Their findings were compared by intervention type, outcome, and duration. The review is selective rather than exhaustive and does not establish the effectiveness of a particular program in Uzbekistan. It reports a literature-based analysis; no pupils were recruited and no original classroom measurements were collected.



Evidence and discussion

An important distinction concerns movement breaks and physically active lessons. A movement break briefly interrupts instruction, whereas an active lesson uses movement to teach or practice subject content (Watson et al., 2017). Their purposes overlap, but they require different planning. A stretching sequence may provide a change of activity; a counting sequence linked to steps also requires pupils to work with numbers. This distinction helps teachers decide what they should observe and assess.

Mahar et al. (2006) investigated the Energizers classroom program. Physical activity was assessed in 243 pupils, while on-task behavior was observed in a subgroup of 62 third- and fourth-grade pupils. The researchers reported improved on-task behavior after the activities. The study provides evidence about classroom engagement, but it does not justify assuming that every movement activity improves academic achievement. Observed attention and test performance need separate measures.

Mullender-Wijnsma et al. (2016) provide evidence about longer-term learning. Their cluster randomized trial involved 499 children and integrated movement into mathematics and spelling lessons over two years. The intervention group made greater gains in mathematics and spelling, while reading outcomes did not differ between groups. The finding supports carefully designed active instruction in the subjects studied and also shows why claims should remain specific to the measured outcomes.

The reviews reinforce this caution. Watson et al. (2017) found a positive pooled effect on classroom behavior, but variation between interventions and outcome measures limited definitive conclusions. Daly-Smith et al. (2018) likewise reported improvements in time on task, while emphasizing weaknesses in study quality and insufficient evidence for some cognitive and academic outcomes. Taken together, these findings suggest that movement is a promising teaching resource whose contribution depends on the task, delivery, and assessment.

A framework for classroom practice

The proposed framework begins with one learning objective. For an addition lesson, the teacher might ask pupils to represent an operation on a floor number line and explain their starting point, direction, and final position. The task ends with a written example so that the teacher can check whether pupils transfer the physical representation to mathematical notation. The movement serves the explanation rather than becoming the criterion for success.

In language lessons, pupils could use gestures to illustrate action verbs and then choose the correct verb for a sentence. This is a proposed teaching example, not an established reading intervention. A seated version can use the same gestures or word cards. Children should be able to demonstrate understanding through an accessible response, and the teacher should evaluate their language choices rather than movement speed or athletic skill.

Classroom organization also matters. Before starting, the teacher demonstrates the task and establishes a signal for returning to discussion or written work. In a room with limited space, pupils can remain beside their desks or use seated actions. Activities



should leave adequate time for explanation and feedback. Teachers can begin with one manageable task and adjust its duration after observing participation and the transition back to learning.

For graduates trained in primary education and sports educational work, this framework connects subject teaching with knowledge of movement. Physical education expertise can inform task adaptation and organization. Classroom activities should complement scheduled physical education, where pupils have opportunities to develop motor skills through more sustained practice. The number-line and gesture examples above are proposals for testing in local classrooms, not reports of outcomes already achieved.

Evaluation and limitations

A classroom pilot should assess engagement and learning separately. Teachers could record on-task behavior at consistent observation intervals and compare performance on equivalent subject tasks before and after a teaching period. Recording activity duration and actual participation would help explain differences between lessons. A comparison class would strengthen interpretation, although differences in teaching, prior attainment, and pupil needs would still require attention. The reviewed international findings cannot be transferred directly to Uzbek classrooms without considering local curricula and conditions.

Conclusion

The reviewed evidence supports testing classroom movement as part of primary teaching. Its strongest practical implication is to connect each active task with a clear objective and an independent check of understanding. Teachers should distinguish improved engagement from improved attainment and provide accessible ways to participate. Local evaluation can then show which activities are useful for particular pupils and subjects, and how they can be delivered alongside the wider physical education program.

REFERENCES

1. Daly-Smith, A. J., Zwolinsky, S., McKenna, J., Tomporowski, P. D., Defeyter, M. A., & Manley, A. (2018). Systematic review of acute physically active learning and classroom movement breaks on children's physical activity, cognition, academic performance and classroom behaviour: Understanding critical design features. *BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine*, 4(1), e000341. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjsem-2018-000341>
2. Mahar, M. T., Murphy, S. K., Rowe, D. A., Golden, J., Shields, A. T., & Raedeke, T. D. (2006). Effects of a classroom-based program on physical activity and on-task behavior. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 38(12), 2086-2094. <https://doi.org/10.1249/01.mss.0000235359.16685.a3>
3. Mullender-Wijnsma, M. J., Hartman, E., de Greeff, J. W., Doolaard, S., Bosker, R. J., & Visscher, C. (2016). Physically active math and language lessons improve academic achievement: A cluster randomized controlled trial. *Pediatrics*, 137(3), e20152743. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2015-2743>



4. Watson, A., Timperio, A., Brown, H., Best, K., & Hesketh, K. D. (2017). Effect of classroom-based physical activity interventions on academic and physical activity outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 14, 114. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-017-0569-9>